

For the use of a Registered Medical Practitioner or a Hospital or a Laboratory only.

ZOMOD 3.5 Bortezomib for Injection 3.5 mg/Vial

Composition:

Each vial contains:
Bortezomib 3.5 mg
Excipients: Q.S.

Clinical particulars

Therapeutic indications

Bortezomib as monotherapy or in combination with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin or dexamethasone is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with progressive multiple myeloma who have received at least 1 prior therapy and who have already undergone or are unsuitable for haematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma who are not eligible for high-dose chemotherapy with haematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma who are eligible for high-dose chemotherapy with haematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Posology and method of administration

Treatment must be initiated and administered under the supervision of a physician qualified and experienced in the use of chemotherapeutic agents. Bortezomib should be recommended by a healthcare professional.

Posology for treatment of progressive multiple myeloma (patients who have received at least one prior therapy)

Monotherapy

Bortezomib 3.5 mg powder for solution for injection is administered via intravenous or subcutaneous injection at the recommended dose of 1.3 mg/m² body surface area twice weekly for two weeks on days 1, 4, 8, and 11 in a 21-day treatment cycle. This 3-week period is considered a treatment cycle. It is recommended that patients receive 2 cycles of Bortezomib following a continuation of a complete response. It is also recommended that responding patients who do not achieve a complete response receive a total of 8 cycles of Bortezomib therapy. At least 72 hours should elapse between consecutive doses of Bortezomib.

Dose adjustments during treatment and re-initiation of treatment for monotherapy

Bortezomib treatment must be withheld if any Grade 3 non-haematological or any Grade 4 haematological toxicities, excluding neuropathy as discussed below. Once the symptoms of the toxicity have resolved, Bortezomib treatment may be re-initiated at a 25% reduced dose (1.3 mg/m² reduced to 1.0 mg/m²; 1.0 mg/m² reduced to 0.7 mg/m²). If the toxicity is severe, the best dose, discontinuation of Bortezomib should be considered unless the benefit of treatment clearly outweighs the risk.

Neuropathic pain and/or peripheral neuropathy

Patients who experience moderate neuropathic pain and/or peripheral neuropathy are to be managed as presented in Table 1. Patients with pre-existing severe neuropathy may be treated with Bortezomib only after careful risk/benefit assessment.

Table 1. Recommended posology modifications for bortezomib-related neuropathy

Severity of neuropathy	Posology modification
Grade 1 (asymptomatic; loss of deep tendon reflexes or paresthesia) with no pain or loss of function	None
Grade 1 with pain or Grade 2 (moderate symptoms; limiting instrumental Activities of Daily Living (ADL)**)	Reduce Bortezomib to 1.0 mg/m ²
Grade 2 with pain or Grade 3 (severe symptoms; limiting self care ADL**)	Withhold Bortezomib treatment until symptoms of toxicity have resolved. Once resolved, re-initiate Bortezomib treatment and reduce dose to 0.7 mg/m ² once per week
Grade 4 (life-threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated) and/or severe autonomic neuropathy	Discontinue Bortezomib

** Based on posology modifications in Phase II and III multiple myeloma studies and post-marketing experience.

*** Self care ADL refers to bathing, dressing and undressing, feeding, self, using the toilet, taking medicinal products, and not bedridden.

Combination therapy with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin

Bortezomib 3.5 mg powder for solution for injection is administered via intravenous or subcutaneous injection at the recommended dose of 1.3 mg/m² body surface area twice weekly for two weeks on days 1, 4, 8, and 11 in a 21-day treatment cycle. This 3-week period is considered a treatment cycle. At least 72 hours should elapse between consecutive doses of Bortezomib.

Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin is administered at 30 mg/m² on day 4 of the Bortezomib treatment cycle as a 1 hour intravenous infusion administered after the Bortezomib injection.

Up to 6 cycles of this combination therapy can be administered as long as patients have not progressed and tolerate treatment. Patients achieving a complete response can continue treatment for at least 2 cycles after the first evidence of complete response, even if this requires treatment for more than 8 cycles. Patients whose levels of paraprotein continue to decrease after 3 cycles can continue for as long as treatment is tolerated and they continue to respond.

Combination therapy with melphalan and prednisone

Bortezomib 3.5 mg powder for solution for injection is administered via intravenous or subcutaneous injection at the recommended dose of 1.3 mg/m² body surface area twice weekly for two weeks on days 1, 4, 8, and 11 in a 21-day treatment cycle. This 3-week period is considered a treatment cycle. At least 72 hours should elapse between consecutive doses of Bortezomib.

Dexamethasone is administered orally at 20 mg on days 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, and 12 of the Bortezomib treatment cycle. Patients achieving a response or a complete response may be treated with Bortezomib and dexamethasone for a maximum of 4 additional cycles.

Dose adjustments for combination therapy for patients with progressive multiple myeloma

For Bortezomib dose adjustments or if it occurs at a treatment cycle, follow dose modification guidelines described under monotherapy above.

Posology for previously untreated multiple myeloma patients not eligible for haematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Combination therapy with melphalan and prednisone

Bortezomib 3.5 mg powder for solution for injection is administered via intravenous or subcutaneous injection in combination with oral melphalan and oral prednisone as shown in Table 2. A 6-week period is considered a treatment cycle. In Cycles 1-4, Bortezomib is administered twice weekly on days 1, 4, 8, 11, 22, 25, 29 and 32. In Cycles 5-9, Bortezomib is administered once weekly on days 1, 8, 22 and 29. At least 72 hours should elapse between consecutive doses of Bortezomib.

Melphalan and prednisone should both be given orally on days 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the first week of each Bortezomib treatment cycle.

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

Table 2. Recommended posology for Bortezomib in combination with melphalan and prednisone

In addition to peripheral neuropathy, there may be a contribution of autonomic neuropathy to some adverse reactions such as postural hypotension and severe constipation with ileus. Information on autonomic neuropathy and its contribution to these undesirable effects is limited.

Seizures

Seizures have been uncommonly reported in patients without previous history of seizures or epilepsy. Special care is required when treating patients with any risk factors for seizures.

Hypotension

Bortezomib treatment is commonly associated with orthostatic/postural hypotension. Most adverse reactions are mild to moderate in nature and are observed throughout treatment. Patients who developed orthostatic hypotension on Bortezomib (injected intravenously) did not have evidence of orthostatic hypotension prior to treatment with Bortezomib. Most patients required treatment for their orthostatic hypotension. A minority of patients with orthostatic hypotension experienced syncope events. Orthostatic/postural hypotension was not acutely related to bolus infusion of Bortezomib. The mechanism of this event is unknown although a component may be due to autonomic neuropathy.

Autonomic neuropathy

Autonomic neuropathy may be related to Bortezomib or Bortezomib may aggravate an underlying condition such as diabetic or amyloidotic neuropathy. Caution is advised when treating patients with a history of syncope receiving medicinal products known to be associated with hypotension, or who are dehydrated due to recurrent diarrhoea or vomiting. Management of orthostatic/postural hypotension may include adjustment of antihypertensive medicinal products, rehydration or administration of mineralocorticosteroids and/or sympathomimetics. Patients should be instructed to seek medical advice if they experience symptoms of dizziness, light-headedness or fainting spells.

Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome (PRES)

There have been reports of PRES in patients receiving Bortezomib. PRES is a rare, often reversible, rapidly evolving neurological condition, which can present with seizure, hypertension, headache, lethargy, confusion, blindness, and other visual and neurological disturbances. Brain imaging, preferably Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), is used to confirm the diagnosis. In patients developing PRES, Bortezomib should be discontinued.

Heart failure

Acute development or exacerbation of congestive heart failure, and/or new onset of decreased left ventricular ejection fraction has been reported during Bortezomib treatment. Fluid retention may be a predisposing factor for signs and symptoms of heart failure. Patients with risk factors for or existing heart disease should be closely monitored.

Electrocardiogram investigations

There have been isolated cases of QT-interval prolongation in clinical studies, causality has not been established.

Pulmonary disorders

There have been rare reports of acute diffuse infiltrative pulmonary disease of unknown aetiology such as pneumonitis, interstitial pneumonia, lung infiltration, and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in patients receiving Bortezomib. Some of these events have been fatal. A pre-treatment chest radiograph is recommended to serve as a baseline for potential post-treatment pulmonary changes.

In the event of new or worsening pulmonary symptoms (e.g. cough, dyspnoea), a prompt diagnostic evaluation should be performed and patients treated appropriately. The benefit/risk ratio should be considered prior to continuing Bortezomib therapy.

In a clinical trial, two patients (out of 2) given high-dose cytarabine (2 g/m² per day) by continuous infusion over 24 hours with daunorubicin and Bortezomib for relapsed acute myelogenous leukaemia died of ARDS early in the course of therapy, and the study was terminated. Therefore, this specific regimen with concomitant administration with high-dose cytarabine (2 g/m² per day) by continuous infusion over 24 hours is not recommended.

Renal impairment

Renal complications are frequent in patients with multiple myeloma. Patients with renal impairment should be monitored closely.

Hepatic impairment

Bortezomib is metabol

Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	Common	Rash*, Pruritus*, Erythema, Dry skin
	Uncommon	Erythema multiforme, Urticaria, Acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis, Toxic skin eruption, Toxic epidermal necrolysis*, Stevens-Johnson syndrome*, Dermatitis*, Hair disorder*, Pelecheia, Erythema, Skin lesion, Purpura, Skin mass*, Poriarris, Hyperhidrosis, Night sweats, Decubitus ulcer*, Acne*, Blister*, Pigmentation disorder*
Rare		Skin reaction, Jessner's lymphocytic infiltration, Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome, Haemorrhage subcutaneous, Livido reticularis, Skin induration, Papule, Photosensitivity reaction, Seborrhoea, Cold sweat, Skin disorder NOS, Erythrosis, Skin ulcer, Nail disorder
	Very Common	Musculoskeletal pain*
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	Common	Muscle spasms*, Pain in extremity, Muscular weakness
	Uncommon	Muscle twitching, Joint swelling, Arthritis*, Joint stiffness, Myalgia*, Sensation of heaviness
Rare		Rhabdomyolysis, Temporomandibular joint syndrome, Fistula, Joint effusion, Pain in jaw, Bone disorder, Musculoskeletal and connective tissue infections and inflammations*, Synovial cyst
	Common	Renal impairment*
Renal and urinary disorders	Uncommon	Renal failure acute, Renal failure chronic*, Urinary tract infection*, Urinary tract signs and symptoms*, Haematuria*, Urinary retention, Micturition disorder*, Proteinuria, Azotemia, Oliguria*, Polakuria
	Rare	Bladder irritation
Reproductive system and breast disorders	Uncommon	Vaginal haemorrhage, Genital pain*, Erectile dysfunction,
	Rare	Testicular disorder*, Prostatitis, Breast disorder female, Epididymal tenderness, Epididymitis, Pelvic pain, Vulval ulceration
Congenital, familial and genetic disorders	Rare	Aplasia, Gastrointestinal malformation, Ichthyosis
	Very Common	Pyrrexia*, Fatigue, Asthenia
General disorders and administration site conditions	Common	Oedema (inc peripheral), Chills, Pain*, Malaise*
	Uncommon	General physical health deterioration*, Face oedema* Injection site reaction*, Mucosal disorder*, Chest pain, Gait disturbance, Feeling cold, Extravasation*, Catheter related complication*, Change in thirst*, Chest discomfort, Feeling of body temperature change*, Injection site pain*
Rare		Death (inc sudden), Multi-organ failure, Injection site haemorrhage*, Hernialnic hiatus*, Impaired healing*, Inflammation, Injection site phlebitis*, Tenderness, Ulcer, Irritability, Non-cardiac chest pain, Catheter site pain, Sensation of foreign body
	Common	Weight increased
Investigations	Uncommon	Hyperbilirubinaemia*, Protein analyses abnormal*, Weight increased, Blood test abnormal*, C-reactive protein increased
	Rare	Blood gases abnormal*, Electrocardiogram abnormalities (inc QT prolongation)*, International normalized ratio abnormal*, Gastric pH decreased, Platelet aggregation increased, Troponin I increased, Urine verification and serology*, Urine analysis abnormal*
Injury, poisoning and procedural complications	Uncommon	Fall, Contusion
	Rare	Transfusion reaction, Fractures*, Rigors*, Face injury, Joint injury*, Burns, Laceration, Procedural pain, Radiation injuries*
Surgical and medical procedures	Rare	Macrophage activation

NOS=not otherwise specified
 * Grouping of more than one MedDRA preferred term.
 † Postmarketing adverse reaction
 ‡ Description of selected adverse reactions
 § Herpes zoster virus reactivation
 ¶ Antiviral prophylaxis was administered to 26% of the patients in the Bz+M+P arm. The incidence of herpes zoster among patients in the Bz+M+P treatment group was 17% for patients not administered antiviral prophylaxis compared to 3% for patients administered antiviral prophylaxis.
 ** Peripheral neuropathy in combination regimens
 †† In trials in which Bortezomib was administered as induction treatment in combination with dexamethasone (study IFM-2005-01), and dexamethasone-thalidomide (study MMY-3010), the incidence of peripheral neuropathy in the combination regimens is presented in the table below.
 ‡‡ Table 7. Incidence of peripheral neuropathy during induction treatment by toxicity and treatment discontinuation due to peripheral neuropathy

	IFM-2005-01		MMY-3010	
	VDDx (N=239)	BzDx (N=239)	TDx (N=126)	BzTDx (N=130)
Incidence of PN (%)				
All Grade PN	3	15	12	45
≥ Grade 2 PN	1	10	2	31
≥ Grade 3 PN	< 1	5	0	5
Discontinuation due to PN (%)	< 1	2	1	5

VDDx=vincristine, doxorubicin, dexamethasone; BzDx=Bortezomib, dexamethasone; TDx=thalidomide, dexamethasone; BzTDx=Bortezomib, thalidomide, dexamethasone; PN=peripheral neuropathy
 Note: Peripheral neuropathy included the following terms: neuropathy peripheral, peripheral motor neuropathy, peripheral sensory neuropathy, and polyneuropathy.
 †† Notable differences in the safety profile of Bortezomib administered subcutaneously versus intravenously as single agent in the Phase III study patients who received Bortezomib subcutaneously compared to intravenous administration had 13% lower overall incidence of treatment emergent adverse reactions that were Grade 3 or higher in toxicity, and a 5% lower incidence of discontinuation of Bortezomib. The overall incidence of diarrhoea, gastrointestinal and abdominal pain, asthenic conditions, upper respiratory tract infections and peripheral neuropathies were 12%-15% lower in the subcutaneous group than in the intravenous group. In addition, the incidence of Grade 3 or higher peripheral neuropathies was 10% lower, and the discontinuation rate due to peripheral neuropathies 8% lower for the subcutaneous group as compared to the intravenous group.
 ‡‡ Six percent of patients had an adverse local reaction to subcutaneous administration, mostly redness. Cases resolved in a median of 6 days, dose modification was required in two patients. Two (1%) of the patients had severe reactions; 1 case of pruritus and 1 case of redness.
 §§ The incidence of death on treatment was 1% in the subcutaneous treatment group and 7% in the intravenous group. Incidence of death from "Progressive disease" was 18% in the subcutaneous group and 9% in the intravenous group.
 ¶¶ Retreatment of patients with relapsed multiple myeloma
 ††† In a study in which Bortezomib retreatment was administered in 130 patients with relapsed multiple myeloma, who previously had at least partial response on a Bortezomib-containing regimen, the most common all-grade adverse events occurring in at least 25% of patients were thrombocytopenia (55%), neuropathy (40%), anaemia (31%), diarrhoea (25%), and constipation (26%). All grade peripheral neuropathy and grade ≥ 3 peripheral neuropathy were observed in 40% and 8.5% of patients, respectively.
 ‡‡‡ Reporting of suspected adverse reactions
 §§§ Reporting suspected adverse reactions after authorisation of the medicinal product is important. It allows continued monitoring of the benefit/risk balance of the medicinal product.

Overdose
 In patients, overdose more than twice the recommended dose has been associated with the acute onset of symptomatic hypotension and thrombocytopenia with fatal outcomes. For preclinical cardiovascular safety pharmacology studies, see section Preclinical safety data.
 There is no known specific antidote for Bortezomib overdose. In the event of an overdose, the patient's vital signs should be monitored and appropriate supportive care given to maintain blood pressure (such as fluids, pressors, and/or inotropic agents) and body temperature.

Pharmacological properties
Pharmacodynamic properties
 Pharmacotherapeutic group: Antineoplastic agents, other antineoplastic agents, ATC code: L01XX32.
Mechanism of action
 Bortezomib is a proteasome inhibitor. It is specifically designed to inhibit the chymotrypsin-like activity of the 26S proteasome in mammalian cells. The 26S proteasome is a large protein complex that degrades ubiquitinated proteins. The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway plays an essential role in regulating the turnover of specific proteins, thereby maintaining homeostasis within cells. Inhibition of the 26S proteasome inhibits this targeted proteolysis and affects multiple signalling cascades within the cell, ultimately resulting in cancer cell death.
 Bortezomib is highly selective for the proteasome. At 10 µM concentrations, Bortezomib does not inhibit any of a wide variety of receptors and processes screened and is more than 1,200-fold more selective for the proteasome than for its next preferential enzyme. The kinetics of proteasome inhibition were evaluated in vitro, and Bortezomib was shown to dissociate from the proteasome with a $t_{1/2}$ of 20 minutes, thus demonstrating that proteasome inhibition by Bortezomib is reversible. Bortezomib mediated proteasome inhibition affects cancer cells in a number of ways, but not limited to, altering regulatory proteins, which control cell cycle progression and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) activation. Inhibition of the proteasome results in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. NF-κB is a transcription factor whose activation is required for many aspects of tumourogenesis, including cell growth and survival, angiogenesis, cell-cell interactions, and metastasis. In myeloma, Bortezomib affects the ability of myeloma cells to interact with the bone marrow microenvironment. Experiments have demonstrated that Bortezomib is cytotoxic to a variety of cancer cell lines and that cancer cells are more sensitive to the pro-apoptotic effects of proteasome inhibition than normal cells. Bortezomib causes reduction of tumour growth in vivo in many preclinical models, including multiple myeloma.
 Data from in vitro, ex-vivo, and animal models with Bortezomib suggest that it increases osteoblast differentiation and activity and inhibits osteoclast function. These effects have been observed in patients with multiple myeloma affected by an advanced osteolytic disease and treated with Bortezomib.
Clinical efficacy in previously untreated multiple myeloma
 A prospective Phase III, international, randomised (1:1), open-label clinical study (MMY-3002 VISTA) of 692 patients was conducted to determine whether Bortezomib (1.3 mg/m² injected intravenously) in combination with melphalan (9 mg/m²) and prednisone (60 mg/m²) resulted in improvement in time to progression (TTP) when compared to melphalan (9 mg/m²) and prednisone (60 mg/m²) in patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma. Treatment was administered for a maximum of 9 cycles (approximately 54 weeks) and was discontinued early for disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. The median age of the patients in the study was 71 years, 50% were male, 88% were Caucasian and the median Karnofsky performance status score for the patients was 80. Patients had IgG/IgA/light chain myeloma in 65%/35% respectively. Median follow-up was 10.5 months and a median platelet count of 221.5 x 10⁹/l. Similar proportions of patients had creatinine clearance < 30 ml/min (3% in each arm).
 At the time of a pre-specified interim analysis, the primary endpoint, time to progression, was met and patients in the M+P arm were offered Bz+M+P treatment. The final survival update was performed with a median duration of follow-up of 60.1 months. A statistically significant survival benefit in favour of the Bz+M+P treatment group was observed (HR=0.695, p=0.00043) despite subsequent therapies including Bortezomib-based regimens. Median survival for the Bz+M+P treatment group was 56.4 months compared to 43.1 for the M+P treatment group. Efficacy results are presented in Table 8.
 Table 8. Efficacy results following the final survival update to VISTA study

Efficacy endpoint	Bz+M+P n=344	M+P n=338
Time to progression		
Events n (%)	101 (29)	152 (45)
Median* (95% CI)	20.7 mo (17.6, 24.7)	15.0 mo (14.1, 17.9)
Hazard ratio ^b (95% CI)		0.54 (0.42, 0.70)
p-value ^c		0.00002
Progression-free survival		
Events n (%)	135 (39)	190 (56)
Median* (95% CI)	18.3 mo (16.6, 21.7)	14.0 mo (11.1, 15.0)
Hazard ratio ^b (95% CI)		0.61 (0.49, 0.76)
p-value ^c		0.00001
Overall survival^d		
Events (deaths) n (%)	176 (51.2)	211 (62.4)
Median* (95% CI)	55.4 mo (52.8, 60.9)	43 mo (35.3, 48.3)
Hazard ratio ^b (95% CI)		0.695 (0.567, 0.852)
p-value ^c		0.00043
Response rate population n=668	n=337	n=331
CR n (%)	102 (30)	12 (4)
PR n (%)	136 (40)	103 (31)
nCR n (%)	5 (1)	0
CR+PR n (%)	238 (71)	115 (35)
p-value		< 10 ⁻¹⁰
Reduction in serum M-protein population n=667	n=336	n=331
>=90% n (%)	151 (45)	34 (10)
Time to first response in CR + PR		
Median	1.4 mo	4.2 mo
Median response duration		
CR	24.0 mo	12.8 mo
CR+PR	19.9 mo	13.1 mo
Time to next therapy Events n (%)	224 (65.1)	260 (76.9)

* Kaplan-Meier estimate
 † Hazard ratio estimate is based on a Cox proportional-hazard model adjusted for stratification factors: β₂-microglobulin, albumin, and region. A hazard ratio less than 1 indicates an advantage for VMP.
 ‡ Nominal p-value based on test adjusted for stratification factors: β₂-microglobulin, albumin, and region.
 § p-value for Response Rate (CR+PR) from the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test adjusted for the stratification factors.
 ¶ Response population includes patients who had measurable disease at baseline.
 †† CR=Complete Response; PR=Partial Response; EBMT criteria.
 ‡‡ All randomised patients with secretary disease.
 §§ Survival update based on a median duration of follow-up at 60.1 months.
 ¶¶ mo: months.
 ††† CI=Confidence Interval.
 ‡‡‡ Patients eligible for stem cell transplantation
 §§§ Two randomised, open-label, multicenter Phase III trials (IFM-2005-01, MMY-3010) were conducted to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of Bortezomib in dual and triple combinations with other chemotherapeutic agents, as induction therapy prior to stem cell transplantation in patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma.
 ¶¶¶ In study IFM-2005-01 Bortezomib combined with dexamethasone (BzDx, n=240) was compared to vincristine-doxorubicin-dexamethasone (VDDx, n=242). Patients in the BzDx group received four 21-day cycles, each consisting of Bortezomib (1.3 mg/m²) administered intravenously twice weekly on days 1, 4, 8, and 11), and oral dexamethasone (40 mg/day on days 1 to 4 and days 9 to 12, on days 1 and 2, and on days 3 and 4).
 †††† Autologous stem cell transplants were received by 198 (82%) patients and 208 (87%) patients in the VDDx and BzDx groups respectively, and the majority of patients underwent one single transplant procedure. Patient demographic and baseline disease characteristics were similar between the treatment groups. Median age of the patients in the study was 57 years, 55% were male and 48% of patients had high-risk cytogenetics. The median duration of treatment was 13 weeks for the VDDx group and 11 weeks for the BzDx group. The median number of cycles received for both groups was 4 cycles.
 ‡‡‡‡ The primary efficacy endpoint of the study was post-induction response rate (CR+nCR). A statistically significant difference in CR+nCR was observed in favour of the Bortezomib combined with dexamethasone group. Secondary efficacy endpoints included post-transplant response rates (CR+nCR, CR+nCR+VGR+PR), Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival. Main efficacy results are presented in Table 9.
 §§§§ Table 9. Efficacy results from study IFM-2005-01

Endpoints	BzDx	VDDx	OR; 95% CI; P value ^a
IFM-2005-01	N=240 (ITT population)	N=242 (ITT population)	
RR (Post-induction)			
CR+nCR	14.6 (10.4, 19.7)	6.2 (3.5, 10.0)	2.58 (1.37, 4.85); 0.003
CR+nCR+VGR+PR % (95% CI)	77.1 (71.2, 82.2)	60.7 (54.3, 66.9)	2.18 (1.46, 3.24); < 0.001
RR (Post-transplant)			
CR+nCR	37.5 (31.4, 44.0)	23.1 (18.0, 29.0)	1.98 (1.33, 2.95); 0.001
CR+nCR+VGR+PR % (95% CI)	79.6 (73.9, 84.5)	74.4 (68.4, 79.8)	1.34 (0.87, 2.05); 0.179
CI=confidence interval; CR=complete response; nCR=near complete response; ITT=intent to treat; RR=response rate; Bz=Bortezomib; BzDx=Bortezomib, dexamethasone; VDDx=vincristine, doxorubicin, dexamethasone; VGR=very good partial response; PR=partial response; OR=odds ratio. † Primary endpoint ‡ OR for response rates based on Mantel-Haenszel estimate of the common odds ratio for stratified tables; p-values by Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test. § Refers to response rate after second transplant for subjects who received a second transplant (42/240 [18%] in BzDx group and 52/242 [21%] in VDDx group). ¶ Note: An OR > 1 indicates an advantage for Bz-containing induction therapy. †† In study MMY-3010 induction treatment with Bortezomib combined with thalidomide and dexamethasone (BzTDx, n=130) was compared to thalidomide-dexamethasone (TDx, n=127). Patients in the BzTDx group received six 4-week cycles, each consisting of Bortezomib (1.3 mg/m ²) administered twice weekly days 1, 4, 8, and 11, followed by a 17-day rest period from day 12 to day 28, dexamethasone (40 mg administered orally on days 1 to 4 and days 8 to 11), and thalidomide (administered orally at 50 mg daily on days 1-14, increased to 100 mg on days 15-28 and thereafter to 200 mg daily). ‡‡ One single autologous stem cell transplant was received by 105 (81%) patients and 78 (61%) patients in the BzTDx and TDx groups, respectively. Patient demographic and baseline disease characteristics were similar between the treatment groups. Patients in the BzTDx and TDx groups respectively had a median age of 57 versus 56 years, 59% versus 58% patients were Caucasians, and 55% versus 54% were males. In the BzTDx group 12% of patients were cytogenetically classified as high risk versus 15% of patients in the TDx group. The median duration of treatment was 24.0 weeks and the median number of treatment cycles received was 6.0, and was consistent across treatment groups. §§ The primary efficacy endpoints of the study were post-induction and post-transplant response rates (CR+nCR). A statistically significant difference in CR+nCR was observed in favour of the Bortezomib combined with dexamethasone and thalidomide group. Secondary efficacy endpoints included Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival. Main efficacy results are presented in Table 10. ¶¶ Table 10. Efficacy results from study MMY-3010			

IFM-2005-01
 RR (Post-induction)
 CR+nCR
 CR+nCR+VGR+PR % (95% CI)
 RR (Post-transplant)
 CR+nCR
 CR+nCR+VGR+PR % (95% CI)
 CI=confidence interval; CR=complete response; nCR=near complete response; ITT=intent to treat; RR=response rate; Bz=Bortezomib; BzDx=Bortezomib, dexamethasone; VDDx=vincristine, doxorubicin, dexamethasone; VGR=very good partial response; PR=partial response; OR=odds ratio.
 † Primary endpoint
 ‡ OR for response rates based on Mantel-Haenszel estimate of the common odds ratio for stratified tables; p-values by Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test.
 § Refers to response rate after second transplant for subjects who received a second transplant (42/240 [18%] in BzDx group and 52/242 [21%] in VDDx group).
 ¶ Note: An OR > 1 indicates an advantage for Bz-containing induction therapy.
 †† In study MMY-3010 induction treatment with Bortezomib combined with thalidomide and dexamethasone (BzTDx, n=130) was compared to thalidomide-dexamethasone (TDx, n=127). Patients in the BzTDx group received six 4-week cycles, each consisting of Bortezomib (1.3 mg/m²) administered twice weekly days 1, 4, 8, and 11, followed by a 17-day rest period from day 12 to day 28, dexamethasone (40 mg administered orally on days 1 to 4 and days 8 to 11), and thalidomide (administered orally at 50 mg daily on days 1-14, increased to 100 mg on days 15-28 and thereafter to 200 mg daily).
 ‡‡ One single autologous stem cell transplant was received by 105 (81%) patients and 78 (61%) patients in the BzTDx and TDx groups, respectively. Patient demographic and baseline disease characteristics were similar between the treatment groups. Patients in the BzTDx and TDx groups respectively had a median age of 57 versus 56 years, 59% versus 58% patients were Caucasians, and 55% versus 54% were males. In the BzTDx group 12% of patients were cytogenetically classified as high risk versus 15% of patients in the TDx group. The median duration of treatment was 24.0 weeks and the median number of treatment cycles received was 6.0, and was consistent across treatment groups.
 §§ The primary efficacy endpoints of the study were post-induction and post-transplant response rates (CR+nCR). A statistically significant difference in CR+nCR was observed in favour of the Bortezomib combined with dexamethasone and thalidomide group. Secondary efficacy endpoints included Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival. Main efficacy results are presented in Table 10.
 ¶¶ Table 10. Efficacy results from study MMY-3010

Endpoints	BzTDx	TDx	OR; 95% CI; P value ^a
IFM-2005-01	N=240 (ITT population)	N=242 (ITT population)	
RR (Post-induction)			
CR+nCR	49.2 (40.4, 58.1)	17.3 (11.2, 25.0)	4.63 (2.61, 8.22); < 0.001
CR+nCR+PR % (95% CI)	84.6 (77.2, 90.3)	61.4 (52.4, 69.9)	3.46 (1.90, 6.27); < 0.001
RR (Post-transplant)			
CR+nCR	55.4 (46.4, 64.1)	34.6 (26.4, 43.6)	2.34 (1.42, 3.87); 0.001
CR+nCR+PR % (95% CI)	77.7 (69.6, 84.5)	56.7 (47.6, 65.5)	2.86 (1.55, 4.57); < 0.001

CI=confidence interval; CR=complete response; nCR=near complete response; ITT=intent to treat; RR=response rate; Bz=Bortezomib; BzDx=Bortezomib, thalidomide, dexamethasone; TDx=thalidomide, dexamethasone; PR=partial response; OR=odds ratio.
 † Primary endpoint
 ‡ OR for response rates based on Mantel-Haenszel estimate of the common odds ratio for stratified tables; p-values by Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test.
 § Note: An OR > 1 indicates an advantage for Bz-containing induction therapy.
 ¶ **Clinical efficacy in relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma**
 The safety and efficacy of Bortezomib (injected intravenously) were evaluated in 2 studies at the recommended dose of 1.3 mg/m²; a Phase III randomised, comparative study (APEX), versus dexamethasone (Dex), of 669 patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma who had received 1-3 prior lines of therapy, and a Phase I single-arm study of 102 patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma, who had received at least 2 prior lines of treatment and who were progressing on their most recent treatment.
 In the Phase III study, treatment with Bortezomib led to a significantly longer time to progression, a significantly prolonged survival and a significantly higher response rate, compared to treatment with dexamethasone (see Table 11). In all patients as well as in patients who have received 1 prior line of therapy. As a result of a pre-planned interim analysis, the dexamethasone arm was halted at the recommendation of the data monitoring committee and all patients randomised to dexamethasone were then offered Bortezomib, regardless of disease status. Due to this early crossover, the median duration of follow-up for surviving patients is 6.3 months. Both in patients who were refractory to their last prior therapy and those who were not refractory, overall survival was significantly longer and response rate was significantly higher on the Bortezomib arm.
 Of the 669 patients who were included in the study, 65 were 65 years of age or older. Response parameters as well as TTP remained significantly better for Bortezomib independently of age. Regardless of β₂-microglobulin levels at baseline, all efficacy parameters (time to progression and overall survival, as well as response rate) were significantly improved on the Bortezomib arm.
 In the refractory population of the Phase II study, responses were determined by an independent review committee and the response criteria were those of the European Bone Marrow Transplant Group. The median survival of all patients enrolled in this trial was greater than 17 months. The overall survival was significantly longer in patients who were refractory to their last prior therapy anticipated by consultant clinical investigators for a similar patient population. By multivariate analysis, the response rate was independent of myeloma type, performance status, chromosome 13 deletion status, or the number of prior lines of therapy. The overall response rate was 57% in patients who had received 2 to 3 prior therapeutic regimens had a response rate of 32% (10/32) and patients who received greater than 7 prior therapeutic regimens had a response rate of 31% (2/67).
 Table 11. Summary of disease outcomes from the Phase III (APEX) and Phase II studies

Time related	Phase III All patients		Phase III 1 prior line of therapy		Phase III > 1 prior line of therapy		Phase II ≥ 2 prior lines	
	Bz n=337	Dex n=338	Bz n=212	Dex n=199	Bz n=200	Dex n=217	Bz n=202	Dex n=202
TTP, days	189 ^b	106 ^b	212 ^b	169 ^b	148 ^b	87 ^b	210	210
(95% CI)	[148, 211]	[86, 128]	[188, 267]	[105, 191]	[129, 192]	[84, 107]	[154, 281]	
1 year survival, %	80 ^b	66 ^b	89 ^b	72 ^b	73	62	60	60
(95% CI)	[74.85]	[59.72]	[82.95]	[62.83]	[64.82]	[53.71]		
Response	Bz	Dex	Bz	Dex	Bz	Dex	Bz	Dex
(%)	n=315 ^c	n=312 ^c	n=128	n=110	n=187	n=202	n=192	n=193
CR	20 (6) ^d	2 (1) ^d	8 (6)	2 (2)	12 (6)	0 (0)	4 (**)	4 (**)
CR+nCR	41 (13) ^d	5 (2) ^d	16 (13)	4 (4)	25 (13)	1 (< 1)	10 (**)	10 (**)
CR+nCR+PR	121 (38) ^d	56 (18) ^d	57 (45) ^d	29 (26) ^d	64 (34) ^d	27 (13) ^d	27 (**)	27 (**)
CR+nCR+PR+MR	146 (46) ^d	108 (35) ^d	66 (52) ^d	45 (41) ^d	80 (43) ^d	63 (31) ^d	35 (**)	35 (**)
Median duration	242 (8.0)	169 (5.6)	246 (8.1)	189 (6.2)	238 (7.8)	126 (4.1)	385^e	
Days								
Time to response	43	43	44	46	41	27	38^e	
CR+PR (days)								

^a Intent to Treat (ITT) population.
^b p-value from the stratified log-rank test analysis by line of therapy excludes stratification for therapeutic history; p < 0.0001.
^c Response population includes patients who had measurable disease at baseline and received at least 1 dose of study medicinal product.
^d p-value from the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test adjusted for the stratification factors; analysis by line of therapy excludes stratification for therapeutic history.
^e CR+PR+MR = CR+CR (IF-); nCR=CR (IF-)+nCR=CR (IF-)+nCR=CR (IF-)+nCR=CR (IF-)+nCR=CR (IF-).
 TTP: Time to Progression
 CI=Confidence Interval
 Bz=Bortezomib; Dex=dexamethasone
 CR=Complete Response; nCR=near Complete response
 PR=Partial Response; MR=Minimal response
 In the Phase II study, patients who did not obtain an optimal response to therapy with Bortezomib alone were able to receive high-dose dexamethasone in conjunction with Bortezomib. The protocol allowed patients to receive dexamethasone if they had had a less than optimal response to Bortezomib alone. A total of 74 evaluable patients were administered dexamethasone in combination with Bortezomib. Eighteen percent of patients achieved, or had an improved response (MR (11%) or PR (7%)) with combination treatment.
Clinical efficacy with subcutaneous administration of Bortezomib in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma
 An open label, randomised, Phase III non-inferiority study compared the efficacy and safety of the subcutaneous administration of Bortezomib versus the intravenous administration. The study included 222 patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma, who were randomised in a 2:1 ratio to receive 1.3 mg/m² of Bortezomib by either the subcutaneous or intravenous route for 8 cycles. Patients who did not obtain an optimal response (less than Complete Response [CR]) to therapy with Bortezomib alone after 4 cycles were allowed to receive dexamethasone 20 mg daily on the day of and after Bortezomib administration. Patients with baseline Grade ≥ 2 peripheral neuropathy or platelet counts < 50,000/μl were excluded. A total of 218 patients were evaluable for response.
 This study and efficacy of Bortezomib (intentionally for response rate (CR+PR) after 4 cycles of single agent Bortezomib for both the subcutaneous and intravenous routes, 42% in both groups. In addition, secondary response-related and time to event related efficacy endpoints showed consistent results for subcutaneous and intravenous administration (Table 12).
 Table 12. Summary of efficacy analyses comparing subcutaneous and intravenous administrations of Bortezomib